



Improvement of Growth and Yield of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Agrifound Light Red through Different Application Methods of Gibberellic Acid and *Trichoderma viride*

Jagati Yadagiri*, Prashant Kumar Gupta, Rajesh Tiwari and V. B. Singh

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture (Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya), Gwalior - 474 002 (Madhya Pradesh)

*Corresponding Author E-mail: hortsuren@gmail.com

Received: 20.07.2017 | Revised: 29.07.2017 | Accepted: 31.07.2017

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior, (M.P.) during rabi 2014-15 and 2015-16 to study the effect of gibberellic acid and *Trichoderma viride* on growth and yield of onion (*Allium Cepa* L.) cv. Agrifound Light Red. Foliar application of GA₃ 100mg and soil treatment of *T. viride* (10kg/ha⁻¹) (T₁₁) was observed significantly maximum (68.67, 66.60 and 64.53 cm) plant height, (18.67, 17.82 and 16.97) number of leaves plant⁻¹, (62.83, 61.17 and 59.50 cm) length of leaf and (1.14, 1.12 and 1.10 cm) width of leaf at first year, second year and pooled, respectively, over to control (T₁ i.e., GA₃ 0mg + *T. viride* 0). Growth analytical parameters viz., (668.47, 656.97 and 612.72cm²) leaf area plant⁻¹, (4.46, 4.09 and 3.71) leaf area index, (1.77, 1.74 and 1.71) bulb / green top ratio and (1.49, 1.48 and 1.46) neck thickness of bulb at first year, second year and pooled, respectively, over to control (T₁ i.e., GA₃ 0mg + *T. viride* 0). Significantly maximum (44.43, 43.31 and 42.18g) fresh weight of bulb plant⁻¹ and (28.13, 28.10 and 28.07g) dry weight of bulb for 100g fresh weight. (5.36, 5.33 and 5.31cm) diameter of bulb, (5.11, 5.90 and 6.01cm) length of bulb and (329.94, 323.57 and 326.76qha⁻¹) bulb yield were recorded in the treatment T₁₁ (GA₃ 100mg and soil treatment of *T. viride* (10kg ha⁻¹) at first year, second year and pooled, respectively. Significantly maximum (16.04, 15.73 and 15.89 kg plot⁻¹) and (329.94, 323.57 and 326.76 qha⁻¹) bulb yield were recorded in the treatment T₁₁ (GA₃ 100mg and soil treatment of *T. viride* (10kg ha⁻¹) and lowest (0.10, 0.07 and 0.09%) bolting percentage and this treatment also proved the best antagonistic activity against *Fusarium oxysporu* sp. Cepae basal rot with minimum disease incidence (5.22, 5.20 and 5.21%) over to control at first year, second year and pooled, respectively.

Key words: Gibberellic acid, Growth & yield attributes, Onion, *Trichoderma viride*.

INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is one of the most important commercial vegetable crops grown in

India and being used as vegetables, spices or as medicines. These bulb crops are rich in minerals like phosphorous, calcium and carbohydrate.

Cite this article: Yadagiri J., Gupta, P.K., Tiwari R. and Singh V.B., Improvement of growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Agrifound Light Red through Different Application methods of Gibberellic Acid and *Trichoderma viride*, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 5(4): 1444-1450 (2017). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.5711>

It also contains proteins and Vitamin C. The area of onion in Madhya Pradesh is 117.3 thousand hectare, total production is 2826.0 thousand metric tonnes and productivity is about 24.1 metric tonnes per hectare during 2013-14¹. Onion accounts for 70 per cent of our total foreign exchange earnings from the export of fresh vegetables. Gibberellins are natural constituents of plants and are known to participate in the endogenous control of growth activities and some important role of gibberellins in plant. Application of *Trichoderma viride* significantly reduces the basal rot in onion crop. Therefore, the present experiment was undertaken to find out the effects of GA₃ and *Trichoderma viride* on the growth, yield and percentage of incidence of basal rot of onion to optimum dose and maximum efficiency.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, R.V.S. Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior, (M.P.) during *rabi* 2014-15 and 2015-16. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design with sixteen treatments and three replications. Ten kg seeds (7.5 kg seeds untreated + 2.5 kg seeds treated with 10g *T. viride*) of onion cv. Agrifound Light Red was sown on the nursery beds on September 2nd, 2014 and September 5th 2015. Healthy seedlings were transplanted in the field during October 17th, 2014 and October 19th, 2015 with row to row 15 cm and plant to plant 10.0 cm space. A recommended dose of 100kg N, 60kg P₂O₅ and 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ along with 20 tonnes FYM ha⁻¹ was applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate and murate of potash respectively. The treatment combinations involving four levels of GA₃ viz., 0, 50, 100 and 150 ppm (foliar spray was done after 30 DAT) and four methods of application of *Trichoderma*

viride i.e. control (without treatment), seed treatment (4 g kg⁻¹ seed), soil treatment (10 kg ha⁻¹ before transplanting) and seedling treatment (10 g lit.⁻¹) were applied at the time of transplanting (by root dipping). Five plants were randomly selected from each treatment and observations regarding morphological parameter growth analytical parameters and yield characters and also incidence of basal rot disease (%) were recorded. Collected data were analyzed statistically using SPAR 2.0 computer programme. The significance of difference between pair of means was tested by the Critical differences (CD) test at 5% level of probability¹¹.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The result demonstrated that treatment combinations of GA₃ and *Trichoderma viride* had significant influence on all the morphological and yield parameters of onion cv. Agrifound Light Red. The plant height, number of leaves plant⁻¹, length of leaf and width of leaf linearly increased up to the maximum vegetative growth stage (120 DAT) and thereafter decreased possibly due to the senescence and drying up of the tips of the leaves. The highest plant height (68.67, 64.53 and 66.60 cm) were obtained from T₁₁ (GA₃ 100 mg + *T. viride* soil treatment 10 kg ha⁻¹) followed by T₁₁ (GA 100 ppm + *T. viride* seedling dipping 10 g lit⁻¹) (66.90, 63.63 and 65.27cm) at first year, second year and pooled, respectively and which were at par in second year only, while the lowest plant height (56.23, 54.03 and 55.13 cm) were recorded with the control (T₁) (Table 1). Results obtained were in agreement with the findings of^{5, 12, 6, 10, 2} for GA₃ treatment,⁷ for use of *T. viride*. The maximum number of leaves plant⁻¹ (18.67, 16.97 and 17.82) were obtained from T₁₁ followed by T₁₂ (18.43, 16.37 and 17.40) at first year, second year and pooled,

respectively and which were at par in first year and second year, while the lowest number of leaves plant⁻¹ (12.23, 9.67 and 10.95) were recorded with the control (T₁) (Table 1). The length of leaf was significantly increased by the different treatments. The treatment T₁₁ was found significantly superior as compared to other treatments and which was recorded maximum (62.83, 59.50 and 61.17 cm) length of leaf followed by T₁₂ (61.13, 58.80 and 59.97 cm) and T₁₀ (GA₃ 100 ppm + *T. viride* seed treatment 4 g kg⁻¹) (60.43, 58.17 and 59.30 cm) at first, second year and pooled, respectively and which were at par with each other. While, it was recorded lowest (54.33, 52.07 and 53.20 cm) in treatment T₁ (control) at first, second year and pooled, respectively (Table 1). These findings are in agreement with the findings of ^{5,12,2} for GA₃ treatment ⁷ for use of *T. viride*. Treatment T₁₁ was found significantly superior as compared to other treatments and which was exhibited maximum (1.14, 1.10 and 1.12 cm) width of leaf followed by T₁₂ (1.10, 1.06 and 1.09 cm) and T₁₀ (1.06, 1.01 and 1.04 cm) at first, second year and pooled, respectively, while, it was recorded minimum (0.65, 0.56 and 0.61 cm) in treatment control (T₁) in first, second year and pooled, respectively (Table 1). These findings are in agreement with the findings of ^{5,12,2} for GA₃ treatment ⁷ for use of *T. viride*. The significantly maximum 668.47, 556.97 and 612.72 cm² leaf area plant⁻¹ was observed under the treatment T₁₁ followed by T₁₂ (621.63, 509.74 and 565.68 cm²) and T₁₀ (582.77, 462.32 and 522.55 cm²) as compared to other treatments at first, second year and pooled, respectively. However, it was recorded minimum (216.04, 140.92 and 178.48 cm²) in control (T₁) at first, second year and pooled, respectively. The treatment T₁₁ was recorded significantly maximum 4.46, 3.71 and 4.09 leaf area index followed by T₁₂ (4.14, 3.40 and 3.77) and T₁₀ (3.88, 3.08 and 3.49) as compared to

other treatments at first, second year and pooled, respectively. While, it was recorded minimum 1.44, 0.94 and 1.19 in treatment T₁ (control) at first, second year and pooled, respectively (Table 2). These findings are in agreement with the findings of ^{5,12,15,2} for GA₃ treatment ⁷ for use of *T. viride*. The significantly maximum (1.77, 1.71 and 1.74) bulb/green top ratio was recorded in treatment T₁₁ which was at par with T₁₂ (1.73, 1.63 and 1.68), T₁₀ (1.69, 1.57 and 1.63), T₉ (1.64, 1.53 and 1.58) and T₁₅ (1.61, 1.50 and 1.55) at first, second year and pooled, respectively. On the other hand the minimum (1.17, 1.13 and 1.15) bulb /green top ratio were recorded in treatment T₁ at first, second year and pooled, respectively (Table 2). The neck thickness of the bulb was significantly influenced by various treatments of levels of gibberelic acid and methods of application of *T. viride*. The significantly minimum (0.98, 0.86 and 0.92 cm) neck thickness of the bulb was recorded in treatment control (T₁ i.e. GA₃ 0 ppm + *T. viride* without treatment), while, the highest (1.49, 1.46 and 1.48 cm) neck thickness of the bulb was recorded with treatment T₁₁ (GA₃ 100 mg + *T. viride* soil treatment 10 kg ha⁻¹) in first year, second year and pooled, respectively (Table 2). These findings are in agreement with the findings of ⁵ for GA₃ treatment. Application of treatment T₁₁ was recorded highest fresh weight of bulb plant⁻¹ (44.43, 42.18 and 43.31 g) followed by T₁₂ (42.16, 40.70 and 41.43 g) and T₁₀ (41.13, 39.42 and 40.28 g) at first, second year and pooled, respectively and which were at par with each other. However, it was found lowest in T₁ (27.01, 25.01 and 26.01 g at first, second year and pooled, respectively) (Table 3). These findings are in agreement with the findings of ^{5,12,15,2} for GA₃ treatment ⁵ for use of *T. viride*. The data revealed that maximum dry weight of bulb per 100g fresh

weight (28.13, 28.07 and 28.10 g) was found in treatment T₁₁ followed by T₁₂ (26.97, 26.90 and 26.93 g) as compared to other treatments in first, second year and pooled, respectively. While, it was noted minimum (9.0, 8.83 and 8.92 g at first, second year and pooled, respectively) in T₁ (Table 3). It is obvious from table 2 that the diameter of bulb was significantly influenced by the different treatments. Significantly maximum (5.36, 5.31 and 5.33 cm) diameter of bulb was recorded in treatment T₁₁ which was at par with T₁₂ (5.30, 5.25 and 5.28 cm) and T₁₀ (5.24, 5.20 and 5.23 cm) in first year, second year and pooled, respectively. However, minimum (3.63, 3.57 and 3.60 cm) diameter of bulb was recorded in treatment T₁ (control) in first year, second year and pooled, respectively. The length of bulb was significantly influenced by the different treatments. Treatment T₁₁ was observed maximum (5.11, 5.90 and 6.01 cm) length of bulb followed by T₁₂ (5.62, 5.58 and 5.60 cm) and T₁₀ (5.59, 5.55 and 5.57 cm), while, it was noted minimum (4.14, 3.81 and 3.97 cm) in treatment T₁ (control) in first year, second year and pooled, respectively. The treatment T₁₁, T₁₂, T₁₀, T₉, T₁₅, T₁₆, T₁₄ and T₁₃ were found statistically at par (Table 2). Significantly maximum (16.04, 15.73 and 15.89 kg plot⁻¹) bulb yield was recorded in treatments T₁₁ followed by T₁₂ (15.22, 15.05 and 15.13 kg plot⁻¹) and T₁₀ (14.85, 14.71 and 14.78 kg plot⁻¹), at first, second year and pooled, respectively and which were at par with each other. While, the minimum (9.75, 9.08 and 9.42 kg plot⁻¹ at first, second year and pooled, respectively) was recorded in the treatment T₁ (Table 4). It was apparent from the results (Table 4) that the treatments T₁₁ recorded significantly maximum (329.94, 323.57 and 326.76 q ha⁻¹) bulb yield which was statistically at par with T₁₂ (313.07, 309.51 and 311.29 q ha⁻¹) and T₁₀ (305.40,

302.58 and 303.99 q ha⁻¹) in the first year, second year and pooled, respectively. While, bulb yield hectare⁻¹ was observed minimum (200.56, 186.84 and 193.70 q ha⁻¹) in the treatment T₁ (control) in first year, second year and pooled, respectively. Likewise, maximum bulb diameter, bulb length and bulb yield showed upward trend with the increase in GA₃ concentrations which could be due to the rapid cell division and elongation leading to longer bulb formation. These findings are in agreement with the findings of ^{5, 12, 15, 16, 6, 10, 2.} for GA₃ treatment ^{3,9,8} for use of *T. viride*. Significantly lowest (0.10, 0.07 and 0.09%) bolting percentage was recorded in treatment T₁₁ followed by T₁₂ (0.21, 0.21 and 0.21%), T₁₀ (0.31, 0.30 and 0.31%) and T₉ (0.42, 0.39 and 0.40%) and which were at par with each other, while, it was noted maximum (6.86, 6.58 and 6.73%) bolting percentage in treatment T₁ (control) in first, second year and pooled, respectively (Table 4). The highest plant height, number of leaves plant⁻¹, length of leaf and width of leaf (cm) linearly increased up to the maximum vegetative growth stage might be due to the rapid increment and expansion of plant cells for proper plant growth by the increased concentrations of GA₃ and the use of *Trichoderma viride* as bio-control agent. It was apparent from the results (Table 4), that the minimum 5.22, 5.20 and 5.21 % incidence of percentage of basal rot was obtained in treatment T₁₁ followed by T₁₂ (5.63, 5.60 and 5.61%) in first year, second year and pooled, respectively. While, the maximum (10.14, 10.11 and 10.13%) percentage of basal rot was recorded in treatment T₁ in first year, second year and pooled, respectively. *T. viride* has provided the best antagonistic activity against *Fusarium oxysporu* sp. cepae with minimum disease incidence. These findings are in agreement with the findings of ^{14, 4,13, 3, 9.}

Table 1: Effect of foliar sprays of gibberellic acid and methods of application of *T. viride* on morphological parameters

		Plant height (cm)			Number of leaves			Length of leaf (cm)			Width of leaf		
		at 120 DAT			plant ⁻¹ at 120 DAT			at 120 DAT			(cm)		
		I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I Year	II Year	Pooled
T ₁	GA ₃ 0 mg + T ₀ (without treatment)	56.23	54.03	55.13	12.23	9.67	10.95	54.33	52.07	53.20	0.65	0.56	0.61
T ₂	GA ₃ 0 mg + T ₁ (seed treatment 4g/kg)	56.83	54.70	55.77	12.49	10.16	11.33	54.87	52.60	53.73	0.68	0.59	0.64
T ₃	GA ₃ 0 mg + T ₂ (soil treatment 10kg/ha)	57.80	56.17	56.98	13.21	10.97	12.09	55.73	53.27	54.50	0.76	0.66	0.71
T ₄	GA ₃ 0 mg + T ₃ (seedling treatment 10g/lit)	57.27	55.40	56.33	12.83	10.57	11.70	55.33	52.97	54.15	0.72	0.62	0.67
T ₅	GA ₃ 50 mg + T ₀ (without treatment)	58.47	56.80	57.63	13.67	11.28	12.47	56.03	53.67	54.85	0.79	0.68	0.74
T ₆	GA ₃ 50 mg + T ₁ (seed treatment 4g/kg)	58.90	57.57	58.23	14.05	11.67	12.86	56.40	54.13	55.27	0.83	0.71	0.77
T ₇	GA ₃ 50 mg + T ₂ (soil treatment 10kg/ha)	60.10	58.90	59.50	15.67	12.20	13.93	57.30	55.17	56.23	0.90	0.78	0.84
T ₈	GA ₃ 50 mg + T ₃ (seedling treatment 10g/lit)	59.53	58.13	58.83	14.97	11.93	13.45	56.90	54.67	55.78	0.87	0.74	0.81
T ₉	GA ₃ 100 mg + T ₀ (without treatment)	64.53	62.50	63.52	17.90	14.93	16.42	59.83	57.50	58.67	1.02	0.96	0.99
T ₁₀	GA ₃ 100 mg + T ₁ (seed treatment 4g/kg)	65.83	63.03	64.43	18.20	15.70	16.95	60.43	58.17	59.30	1.06	1.01	1.04
T ₁₁	GA ₃ 100 mg + T ₂ (soil treatment 10kg/ha)	68.67	64.53	66.60	18.67	16.97	17.82	62.83	59.50	61.17	1.14	1.10	1.12
T ₁₂	GA ₃ 100 mg + T ₃ (seedling treatment 10g/lit)	66.90	63.63	65.27	18.43	16.37	17.40	61.13	58.80	59.97	1.10	1.06	1.09
T ₁₃	GA ₃ 150 mg + T ₀ (without treatment)	60.53	59.53	60.03	16.60	12.46	14.53	57.83	55.67	56.75	0.92	0.82	0.87
T ₁₄	GA ₃ 150 mg + T ₁ (seed treatment 4g/kg)	60.97	60.20	60.58	16.94	12.90	14.92	58.47	56.03	57.25	0.94	0.86	0.90
T ₁₅	GA ₃ 150 mg + T ₂ (soil treatment 10kg/ha)	62.17	61.87	62.02	17.60	14.20	15.90	59.40	57.07	58.23	0.98	0.92	0.95
T ₁₆	GA ₃ 150 mg + T ₃ (seedling treatment 10g/lit)	61.53	61.11	61.32	17.30	13.50	15.40	58.93	56.50	57.72	0.96	0.89	0.93
	SEm ±	0.42	0.49	0.34	0.22	0.21	0.12	1.30	1.16	0.90	0.008	0.008	0.006
	CD 5%	1.21	1.42	0.97	0.65	0.61	0.36	3.80	3.49	2.61	0.024	0.023	0.02

Table 2: Effect of foliar sprays of gibberellic acid & methods of application of *T. viride* on growth analytical parameters

Treatment	Leaf area plant (cm ²)			Leaf area index			Bulb /green top			Neck			
	thickness of bulb			at 120 DAT			ratio			(cm)			
	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I Year	II Year	Pooled	
T ₁	216.04	140.92	178.48	1.44	0.94	1.19	1.17	1.13	1.15	0.98	0.86	0.92	
T ₂	233.15	157.57	195.36	1.55	1.05	1.31	1.22	1.17	1.20	1.03	0.94	0.99	
T ₃	279.73	192.85	236.29	1.86	1.28	1.58	1.26	1.23	1.24	1.10	1.07	1.09	
T ₄	255.54	173.51	214.53	1.70	1.16	1.43	1.24	1.20	1.22	1.06	1.02	1.04	
T ₅	302.54	205.87	254.21	2.02	1.37	1.70	1.29	1.27	1.28	1.13	1.10	1.12	
T ₆	327.51	224.18	275.85	2.18	1.50	1.84	1.33	1.29	1.31	1.17	1.15	1.16	
T ₇	403.96	262.51	333.24	2.69	1.75	2.22	1.42	1.34	1.39	1.25	1.21	1.23	
T ₈	369.08	241.34	305.22	2.46	1.61	2.04	1.37	1.32	1.35	1.20	1.18	1.19	
T ₉	546.24	412.22	479.23	3.64	2.75	3.20	1.64	1.53	1.58	1.37	1.36	1.37	
T ₁₀	582.77	462.32	522.55	3.88	3.08	3.49	1.69	1.57	1.63	1.41	1.40	1.41	
T ₁₁	668.47	556.97	612.72	4.46	3.71	4.09	1.77	1.71	1.74	1.49	1.46	1.48	
T ₁₂	621.63	509.74	565.68	4.14	3.40	3.77	1.73	1.63	1.68	1.46	1.44	1.45	
T ₁₃	441.41	282.97	362.19	2.94	1.88	2.42	1.46	1.40	1.43	1.28	1.26	1.27	
T ₁₄	465.52	310.79	388.16	3.10	2.07	2.59	1.51	1.44	1.48	1.30	1.28	1.29	
T ₁₅	512.21	372.75	442.49	3.42	2.49	2.96	1.61	1.50	1.55	1.35	1.33	1.34	
T ₁₆	489.38	339.44	414.41	3.26	2.26	2.76	1.56	1.46	1.51	1.33	1.30	1.32	
	SEm±	11.89	9.56	7.85	0.08	0.064	0.05	0.081	0.09	0.06	0.011	0.01	0.009
	CD 5 %	34.35	27.60	22.68	0.23	0.18	0.15	0.23	0.26	0.19	0.031	0.03	0.03

Table 3: Effect of foliar sprays of gibberellic acid and methods of application of *T. viride* on yield parameters

Treatment	Fresh weight of bulb (g)			Dry weight of bulb (g)			Diameter of bulb (cm)			Length of bulb (cm)		
	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I Year	II Year	
T ₁	27.01	25.01	26.01	9.00	8.83	8.92	3.63	3.57	3.60	4.14	3.81	3.97
T ₂	30.23	27.94	29.09	9.95	9.87	9.91	4.01	3.90	3.95	4.22	4.09	4.16
T ₃	34.25	31.82	33.03	13.27	13.13	13.20	4.66	4.64	4.65	4.46	4.36	4.41
T ₄	32.89	29.22	31.06	11.33	11.25	11.30	4.41	4.22	4.32	4.35	4.23	4.30
T ₅	36.67	33.41	35.04	15.30	15.23	15.27	4.83	4.80	4.81	5.08	4.47	4.78
T ₆	37.16	34.23	35.70	16.67	16.47	16.57	4.88	4.84	4.86	5.11	4.71	4.91
T ₇	37.34	35.00	36.17	19.23	19.17	19.20	4.97	4.91	4.94	5.21	5.13	5.17
T ₈	37.26	34.78	36.03	17.83	17.67	17.75	4.90	4.86	4.88	5.15	4.93	5.04
T ₉	40.63	38.15	39.39	24.77	24.70	24.73	5.21	5.16	5.19	5.56	5.51	5.54
T ₁₀	41.13	39.42	40.28	25.87	25.80	25.83	5.24	5.20	5.23	5.59	5.55	5.57
T ₁₁	44.43	42.18	43.31	28.13	28.07	28.10	5.36	5.31	5.33	6.11	5.90	6.01
T ₁₂	42.16	40.70	41.43	26.97	26.90	26.93	5.30	5.25	5.28	5.62	5.58	5.60
T ₁₃	37.51	35.70	36.61	20.43	20.37	20.40	5.03	4.96	4.99	5.33	5.23	5.29
T ₁₄	38.23	36.31	37.27	21.17	21.13	21.15	5.09	5.01	5.05	5.41	5.34	5.38
T ₁₅	39.85	37.35	38.60	23.60	23.50	23.55	5.18	5.12	5.16	5.52	5.48	5.50
T ₁₆	39.11	36.96	38.04	22.43	22.37	22.40	5.15	5.07	5.11	5.45	5.41	5.43
SEm±	1.20	1.12	0.85	0.46	0.36	0.38	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.27	0.30	0.26
CD 5%	3.60	3.35	2.48	1.34	1.05	1.11	0.25	0.15	0.15	0.80	0.90	0.76

Table 4: Effect of foliar sprays of gibberellic acid and methods of application of *T. viride* on post-harvest parameters and incidence of basal rot disease

	I year			II year			Pooled			I Year			II Year			Pooled		
	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I year	II year	Pooled	I Year	II Year	Pooled	I Year	II Year	Pooled	I Year	II Year	Pooled
T ₁	9.75	9.08	9.42	200.56	186.84	193.70	6.86	6.58	6.73	10.14	10.11	10.13						
T ₂	10.91	9.75	10.33	224.49	200.56	212.52	4.67	4.56	4.61	9.79	9.77	9.78						
T ₃	12.36	11.25	11.81	254.31	231.34	242.83	2.66	2.56	2.61	9.13	9.09	9.11						
T ₄	11.87	10.22	11.05	244.23	210.29	227.26	4.00	3.83	3.91	9.45	9.43	9.44						
T ₅	13.24	12.05	12.64	272.28	247.87	260.08	2.47	2.37	2.42	8.82	8.79	8.80						
T ₆	13.42	12.71	13.06	275.98	261.38	268.68	2.19	1.82	2.00	8.44	8.41	8.43						
T ₇	13.48	13.35	13.42	277.28	274.68	275.98	0.96	0.93	0.95	7.72	7.70	7.71						
T ₈	13.45	13.23	13.34	276.73	272.14	274.44	1.33	1.06	1.20	8.05	8.03	8.04						
T ₉	14.67	14.41	14.54	301.70	296.42	299.06	0.42	0.39	0.40	6.25	6.23	6.24						
T ₁₀	14.85	14.71	14.78	305.40	302.58	303.99	0.31	0.30	0.31	5.97	5.94	5.95						
T ₁₁	16.04	15.73	15.89	329.94	323.57	326.76	0.10	0.07	0.09	5.22	5.20	5.21						
T ₁₂	15.22	15.05	15.13	313.07	309.51	311.29	0.21	0.21	0.21	5.63	5.60	5.61						
T ₁₃	13.54	13.44	13.49	278.52	276.53	277.53	0.80	0.79	0.80	7.40	7.38	7.39						
T ₁₄	13.80	13.64	13.72	283.87	280.58	282.23	0.67	0.66	0.67	7.13	7.10	7.12						
T ₁₅	14.39	14.20	14.29	295.93	292.03	293.98	0.52	0.53	0.52	6.61	6.58	6.59						
T ₁₆	14.12	13.95	14.04	290.45	286.95	288.70	0.59	0.58	0.58	6.88	6.86	6.87						
SEm±	0.43	0.47	0.38	8.93	9.78	7.98	0.220	0.15	0.16	0.08	0.08	0.08						
CD 5 %	1.25	1.37	1.12	25.80	28.26	23.06	0.641	0.45	0.48	0.24	0.24	0.25						

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous.. *Annual Report of Indian Horticulture Database* 2014, NHB, Gurgaon pp165. (2014).
2. Govind, S. Maji., Kumawat, R., Pal, A., Kumar, S. and Saha, S., Improvement of growth, yield and quality of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) Cv. G-282 through a novel approach. *Bio Science*. **10** (1): 23-27. (2015).
3. Gupta, R. C. and Gupta, R. P., Effect of integrated disease management packages on diseases incidence and bulb yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *SAARC Journal of Agriculture*. **11** (2) : 49-59 (2013).
4. Gupta, R. C., Shrivastava, K. J. and Gupta, R. P., Management of economically important soil borne diseases in onion bulb crop. *Abstracts of National Symposium on Vegetable Biodiversity*, JNKVV April 4-5th, 2011. *Indian Society of Vegetable Science, Varanasi*. pp.87. (2011).
5. Islam, M. S., Islam, M. O., Alam, M. N.,

- Ali, M. K. and Rahman, M. A., Effect of plant growth regulator on growth, yield and yield components of onion. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences*. **6**: 849- 853. (2007).
6. Islam, Md. Rakibul., Islam, Md. Monirul., and Yamin Kabir, Md., Performance of cold and GA₃ on growth and seed yield of onion. *Annals of Biological Research*. **4** (12) : 18-21. (2013).
7. Lalitha, P., Srujana, J. and Aruna lakshmi, K., Effect of *Trichoderma viride* on germination of mustard and survival of mustard seedlings. *International Journal of Life Sciences Botanical & Pharmecological Research*. **1** (1) : 137-140. (2012).
8. Luis, Alvarado- Marchena. and William, Rivera-Méndez., Molecular identification of *Trichoderma* spp. in garlic and onion fields and in vitro antagonism trials on *Sclerotium cepivorum*. *Rev Bras Cienc Solo*. **40** : 0150454 : 1-9.(2016).
9. Naguleswaran, Vigitha., Pakeerathan, Kandiah. and Mikunthan, Gunasingam., Biological control: A promising tool for bulb-rot and leaf twisting fungal diseases in red onion (*Allium Cepa* L.) In Jaffna District. *World Applied Sciences Journal*. **31** (6) : 1090-1095 (2014).
10. Nagwa, M. K., Hassan Shafeek, M. R., Saleh, S. A. and Nadia, H M EL-Greadly., Growth, yield and nutritional values of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) plants as affected by bioregulators and Vitamin E under newly reclaimed lands. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*. **9** (1) : 795-803 (2013).
11. Panse, V. C. and Sukhatme, P. V., *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. ICAR Publications, New Delhi. pp **155**. (1985).
12. Patel, M. J., Patel, H. C. and Chavda, J. C., Effect of plant growth regulators and their application methods on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Gujarat White Onion-1. *Advance Research Journal of Crop Improvement*. **1** (2) : 85-87 (2010).
13. Prakasam, V. and Sharma, Pratibha., *Trichoderma harzianum* (Th-3) a potential strain to manage the purple blotch of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) caused by *Alternaria porri* under North Indian plains. *Journal of Agriculture Science*. **10** : 266-272 (2012).
14. Rajendran, K. and Ranganathan, K., Biological control of onion basal rot (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. cepae) by combined application of fungal and bacterial antagonists. *Journal of Biological Control*. **10** (1/2) : 97-102 (1996).
15. Rashid, M. H. A., Effect of sulphur and GA₃ on the growth and yield of onion. *Progressive Agriculture*. **21** (1 & 2) : 57 – 63 (2010).
16. Sharma, P. K., Kumar, Sudesh. and Yadav, G. L., Effect of bio regulators on productivity and quality of rabi onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in semi arid regions of Rajasthan. *Annals of Biology*. **29** (1):1-2. (2013).